



IMPRESS: ECPA funded project 'Improving exposure assessment methodologies for epidemiological studies on plant protection products'

In the interests of transparency, Advisory Board members should declare any conflicts of interest. A conflict of interest is a situation in which an Advisory Board member has competing interests or loyalties in relation to the IMPRESS project.

Conflicts of interest can arise in a variety of circumstance, for example (but not limited to),

- when an Advisory Board member has a position of authority and /or interests in one organisation which conflicts with his or her interests in the IMPRESS project
- when an Advisory Board member has personal interests outside of work that conflict with his/her professional position on the Advisory Board
- where an Advisory Board member has a close friend or family member whose personal or professional interests conflict with those of the IMPRESS project
- where an Advisory Board member works for or carries out work on ECPA, IOM, IRAS, HSL or UoM behalf, and also has personal interests – paid or unpaid – in another business which uses the aforementioned organisations services.

For the purposes of this statement, the phrase 'conflict of interest' encompasses actual, perceived (i.e. as perceived by a reasonable person) and potential conflicts of interest.

Conflict of Interest Declaration

I, the undersigned, declare that I have read and understood the above and that I understand my responsibilities with respect to this form.

I wish to declare the following actual, potential or perceived conflicts of interest:

I am a toxicological/risk assessment adviser for a project (Maximise) funded by the NC3Rs. The aim of the study is: To develop reliable predictions which confidently classify mixtures of chemicals for acute oral toxicity, skin and eye irritation with a focus on relevance for human safety. These should fulfil acute GHS Classification and Labelling requirements for non-encapsulated agrochemical mixtures using existing information on components and formulations without the need for additional *in vivo*, and ideally *in vitro*, studies. The overall aim is to reduce the use of animals for the registration and eventual safe use of agrochemicals.

(Describe the nature of any such conflicts, identify the organisations or individuals that give rise to the conflict, and describe the business or personal relationship you have with them).

There is no commercial conflict as such. The research group is a consortium of academic institutions and a number of agrochemical companies. I was specifically invited to assist one of the partners – the University of York

If you **DO NOT** have any conflicts to declare, please tick this box:

Name:Professor Len Levy.....

Signature: 

Date:18th January 2018